COMPETING MOTIONS FOR APPOINTMENT AS LEAD PLAINTIFF, AND APPROVAL OF SELECTION OF LEAD

COUNSEL.

Filed 11/12/2007

Page 1 of 2

ase 3:07-cv-04073-PJH Document 108

1	State-Boston Retirement System and the Norfolk County Retirement System
2	(collectively, "Boston and Norfolk") respectfully submits this response to the remaining motions
3	for appointment of Lead Plaintiff in the above-captioned case.
4	Having reviewed the competing motion filed by the Southern Improvement Company
5	VSA Inc. and Allen Dayton, ("Southern Improvement"), it appears that Boston and Norfolk do
6	not posses the "largest financial interest in the relief sought by the class" as required by the
7	PSLRA. 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3)(B)(iii)(I)(bb).
8	For this reason, Boston and Norfolk do not oppose the motion of the Southern
9	Improvement Company VSA Inc. and Allen Dayton.
10	If for any reason, the Southern Improvement Company VSA Inc. and Allen Dayton are
11	unable to serve as the Lead Plaintiff in this action, Boston and Norfolk stand ready to serve as
12	lead plaintiff in this matter if called upon by the Court.
13	DATED: November 12, 2007 Respectfully submitted,
14	
15	
16	By: /s/ Mark Labaton Mark Labaton (#159555)
17	KREINDLER & KREINDLER LLP 707 Wilshire Boulevard
	Los Angeles, California 90017
18	Telephone: (213) 622-6469
19	Facsimile: (213) 622-6019
20	Local Counsel
21	LABATON SUCHAROW LLP Christopher J. Keller
22	Andrei V. Rado
23	Alan I. Ellman 140 Broadway
24	New York, New York 10005 Telephone: (212) 907-0700
25	Facsimile: (212) 818-0477
26	Attorneys for State-Boston Retirement System and Norfolk County Retirement System and Proposed
27	Lead Counsel for the Class
28	